Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/823,923	GRAY, KEITH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas R. Artman	2882
Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Thomas R. Artman</u> .	(3)	
(2) Steven Colby, Reg. No. 50,250.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 August 2006	Time: <u>am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 1, 4, 7 and 10		
Prior art documents discussed:  Ganin (US 6,459,765 B1)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
All shi to		
	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that rejected claims 1, 4, 7 and 10 were now allowable over the prior art. The examiner proposed an amendment to claim 7 in order to make the claim statutory under 35 USC 101. Applicant's representative agreed.

Why sprager